

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,500	09/22/2003	Tzung-Han Lee	2450-0552P	6842
2292	7590 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH & 1	BOLES, DEREK		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	3749		
		DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- 1G						
ation No.	Applicant(s)						
,500	LEE, TZUNG-HAN						
ner	Art Unit						
S. Boles	3749						
the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
event, however, may a reply be timely filed							
statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It will be considered timely. It will be consi							
r 2005. s non-final. pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
consideration.							
n requirement.							
accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. b) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
een received. een received in Application No ments have been received in this National Stage tule 17.2(a)). ertified copies not received.							

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/665,500	LEE, TZUNG-HAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Derek S. Boles	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 September 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	· ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Page 1	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/665,500

Art Unit: 3749

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (6,074,296) in view of Ferchau et al. (4,899,254). Wu discloses all of the limitations of the claim(s) except for first and second fastening elements. Ferchau et al. discloses the presence of first and second fastening elements. See fig. 2, 51 and 47. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Wu to include the first and second fastening elements of Ferchau et al. for the purpose of increased stability. See fig. 3, of Wu. Regarding claim 4, see 53.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/15/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (571) 272-4872.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/665,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

system, see http//pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (pa) Tree).

D.S.B.

DEREK'S. BOLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 3700

12/1/05